

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,349	11/10/2004	Richard Jason Jouet	84,777	5978
Office of Coun	7590 01/05/2007 sel Code OC4		EXAM	INER
Naval Surface Warfare Center			MCDONOUGH, JAMES E	
Indian Head Di 101 Strauss Av			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1D 20640-5035	1755		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/695,349	JOUET ET AL.	,		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James E. McDonough	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIC, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note that the state of the state of this community (1997). NOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/30/	<u>/2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction and/or or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National St	age		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2003.		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application _·			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-53, drawn to an energetic composition composition, classified in class 149, subclass 87.
- II. Claims 54-56, drawn to a method for making an energetic composition, classified in class 149, subclass 109.6.
- III. Claims 57-62, drawn to an article of manufacture, classified in class 102, subclass 431.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the linking backbone could be dissolved in the non-linking backbone, then have the metal dispersed in it.

Art Unit: 1755

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as gas generating composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects. The inventions are unrelated, because an ammunition casing does not correspond to method for making an energetic composition

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have required a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction, for examination purposes as indicated is proper

Application/Control Number: 10/695,349

Art Unit: 1755

Currently no claims appear to be generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: Applicant must elect species based on the energetic composite material (i.e. Applicant must elect one of the metal/metalloid, the metal/metalloid oxide, the linking backbone, the first linking functional group, the second linking functional group and the energetic group). The species are independent or distinct because they have different functions or different effects. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C.121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James E. McDonough whose telephone number is (571)272-6398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,349 Page 6

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JEM 11/20/2006

AILEEN FELTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

en Felter